

## MINUTES

### *eHealth Care Quality and Patient Safety Board Patient Care Workgroup August 24, 2006*

Attending: Ed Barthell, Kathleen Blair, Denise Webb, Dana Richardson, Arthur Wendell, Maureen Smith, Stacia Jankowski, Seth Foldy (recorder)  
Not Attending: Murray Katcher, Lowell Keppel, Jon Temte

Agenda Item
<b>1. Approval of minutes</b> No minutes available yet from last meeting. Deferred to next meeting.
<b>2. Updates from eHealth Board and other workgroups</b> Seth reported that the Consumer Issues workgroup requested substantial rewriting of the use cases to make them clearer for both lay and professional audiences. They suggested expanding the delivery service use case to include a portal that providers could use to forward content to patients. The Information Exchange workgroup is creating a Pugh grid ranking the priority of various technical requirements for various types of exchange projects.
<b>3. Discussion of expanded use case document: Does this reflect what we want to achieve?</b> Committee members agreed with the need to create a more user-friendly document, and one that more explicitly describes the actions of different stakeholder participants in each model. It should be made explicit somewhere how the results and document delivery system contributes to the process of creating the patient-centric views of information. Those activities where state-level (as opposed to sub-state regional) implementation is foreseen should be clearly described. Ed and Seth agreed to produce a second draft incorporating these elements.
<b>4. Vetting eHealth Board conclusions with clinical stakeholders: Who should discuss and review our recommendations?</b> Stacia described a proposal from Susan Wood for the Information Exchange and Patient Care workgroups to have a single meeting with stakeholders (including a live webcast). The workgroup agreed to use the September 7 Patient Care workgroup meeting to focus on creating clear content related to use cases and recommendations and to work closely with information technology to create a single cohesive presentation. Stacia will send out a list of Associations to be invited for review by the workgroup. Dana will present workgroup ideas as well to a meeting of the Wisconsin Hospital Association (WHA) Medical and Professional Affairs meeting next week.
<b>5. Review and comment: Annual Health Information Technology Assessment</b> Some feedback already received from members by email. Kathleen suggested that some data collection had been performed by the Wisconsin Immunization Registry and

Wisconsin Association for Perinatal Care, which may be redundant. A comprehensive annual survey could help replace multiple redundant and annoying surveys.

**6. Action Plan Strategies for Health Information Technology: proposed outline**

Ed stated he had already submitted suggestions that the overall vision be placed up front in the document, and that measurable outcomes be listed with proposed targets.

Lessons learned by DOQ-IT should be included in the section on speeding adoption of EHRs. A presentation to be requested for Sept 7 meeting.

It was suggested we should explicitly address how HIE can promote adoption of EHR systems and avoid the presumption that EHRs had to precede the creation of HIE. It was suggested that the need for sub-state regional HIEs be discussed explicitly in the sections on sustainable organization and governance structures. The issue of what to do with sections of the state that might not muster adequate local resources to create an HIE should also be addressed (most likely through state support systems).

**7. Adjournment-Next Meeting-LOCATION CHANGED**

Adjourned 2:30 PM. Next meeting to be MOVED to Infinity Healthcare (with a better conference phone system!) - **confirmation to follow.**

**Next Meeting:** Thursday, September 7, 1:00 - 3:00 p.m.